Sex Work and Trafficking: A Donor–Activist Dialogue on Rights and Funding

Report from the December 2008 Conference

Creating Resources for Empowerment in Action (CREA) Global Network of Sex Work Projects (NSWP) Sexual Health and Rights Project/Open Society Institute (SHARP)

Contents

Objectives	4	
A Common Understanding	5	
Trafficking: Earlier Understandings	5	
Trafficking: Current Understandings	6	
Sex Trafficking vs. Sex Work: Understanding the Difference	7	
Exploitation: Moral or Economic?	7	
Agency: Beyond the Force/Choice Binary	9	
Real-Life Consequences	10	
Media Representations	10	
Raids, Rescues, and Rights Violations	11	
Legal Exceptionalism	12	
Border Controls	12	
Funding and Civil Society	13	
Laws, Policies, and Practices	13	
Existing Models	16	
Institutional Anti-trafficking Framework	16	
Sex worker-led Anti-trafficking Initiative	17	
Empowerment Model	18	
Discussion	19	
Recommendations	21	
Appendix A: Conference Agenda		
Appendix B: Conference Participants		

Objectives

The last decade has seen increased global attention and resources to combat trafficking, particularly into the sex sector. Many of the laws, policies, and programs developed out of this support, however, have resulted in serious human rights violations against sex workers. In many cases, the application and emphasis of anti-trafficking legislation on curbing "sex trafficking" criminalizes consensual adult commercial sex and ineffectively addresses issues of mobility and migration.

This report summarizes the presentations, discussions, and recommendations made at a two-day dialogue organized by CREA, NSWP, and OSI held on December 11-12, 2008, in Tarrytown, New York.

The "Donor–Activist Dialogue on Sex Work and Trafficking" brought donors, anti–human trafficking advocates, sex workers' rights activists, researchers, and academics from different countries together to:

- Understand some of the language and terms generally used to describe sex work, migration, and trafficking, and how these concepts are interrelated but distinct.
- Identify the real life consequences to sex workers and persons trafficked into the sex sector and their families of policies and programs that are premised on the notion that all sex work results from trafficking.
- Examine how to leverage existing models that approach sex work from a rightsbased perspective to stop trafficking into the sex sector.
- Recommend how to support and implement anti-trafficking efforts that affirm the rights of sex workers and others affected by anti-trafficking legislation.

Common Understandings

The word "trafficking" has come to take on many different meanings for different audiences. In a word association exercise that began the Donor–Activist Dialogue, participants listed a range of words and ideas related to trafficking:

migrants	false promises	voluntary return	drugs
victims	innocence	virginity	minors
slaves	exotic	marriage	children
prostitution	male domination	distortion	trade
female	broken families	opportunity	deportation
borders	exploitation	naïve	detention
clients	illegal	mobility	problem
underworld	organized crime	sex work	work
police	arms	rehabilitation	red-light district
pimps	media	morality	coercion
smuggling	rights	sex slaves	psychological trauma
HIV/AIDS	violence	sexual regulation	rescue
politics	rape	sex tourism	poverty

Trafficking: Earlier Understandings

Early understandings of trafficking emerged in the late 19th century, at a time when European social purity movements were trying to eliminate social ills and moral vices. In addition to working for the emancipation of slaves of African descent, abolitionist movements challenged "white slavery"—the enslavement of white women in prostitution. As these concerns played into each other, trafficking and prostitution became interlinked. Countries adopted mechanisms of surveillance and deportation, and treated female mobility with suspicion. Some governments profiled and restricted the movement of young female migrants;¹ Greece, for example, passed legislation forbidding women under 21 to travel abroad without a special permit.²

These policies also carried other turn-of-the-century pre-occupations: racial and class biases and purities, concerns around preserving female chastity, and protecting national borders.

These understandings of trafficking, which are rooted in ending "white slave" traffic, show up in early international agreements and conventions, including those passed by the

¹ International Agreement for the Suppression of the White Slave Trade (1904): "Each of the governments undertake to have a watch kept, especially in railway stations, ports of embarkation, and en route, for persons in charge of women and girls destined for an immoral life."

² Jo Doezema, "Who Gets to Choose? Coercion, Consent and the UN Trafficking Protocol" p. 5 (*Gender and Development*, Volume 10, Number 1, March 2002).

League of Nations.³ All these linked trafficking only to prostitution, saw it as limited to women, or women and children, and deemed consent immaterial. "Whoever, in order to gratify the passions of another person, has procured, enticed, or led away *even with her consent*, a woman or girl under age, for immoral purposes, shall be punished," declared the 1910 Convention. The same language was used in the 1949 Convention for the Suppression of Traffic in Persons and the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others.

Trafficking: Current Understandings

The 2000 "Protocol to Prevent, Suppress and Punish Trafficking in Persons, especially Women and Children," known as the Palermo Protocol, embodies the current international understanding of trafficking. It represents significant conceptual advances in three key areas:

- It recognizes that all people can be trafficked, not just women and children.
- It goes beyond sex work and acknowledges that trafficking can occur into all labor sectors.
- It specifies that force, coercion, or deception must be present.

Under this Protocol, trafficking is understood to take place only if three elements are present:

- Acts (recruitment, transportation, transfer, harboring, or receipt of persons)
- Means (threat, use of force, coercion, abduction, fraud, deception, abuse of power, and vulnerability)
- Purpose (exploitation)⁴

The Protocol's distinction between the "exploitation of prostitution" and "prostitution" has enabled many countries to sign it. Signatories include the Netherlands, where prostitution is legal, and the United States, where prostitution is mostly illegal.⁵ The Protocol's reframing of trafficked people as "victims," rather than as criminals who illegally cross borders or break other laws, is another strength. Although the Protocol focuses on cross-border trafficking, countries are encouraged to enact national laws to address domestic trafficking.

³ See: 1904 International Agreement for the Suppression of the White Slave Trade; 1910 International Convention for the Suppression of White Slave Traffic; 1921 International Convention for the Suppression of Traffic in Women and Children; and the 1933 International Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women of Full Age.

⁴ According to the Palermo Protocol, "trafficking in persons shall mean the recruitment, transportation, transfer, harbouring or receipt of persons, by means of the threat or use of force or other forms of coercion, of abduction, of fraud, of deception, of the abuse of power or of a position of vulnerability or of the giving or receiving of payments or benefits to achieve the consent of a person having control over another person, for the purpose of exploitation."

⁵ Nevada is one of only two U.S. states that allow some legal prostitution; in most of its rural counties brothels are legalized and heavily regulated. In Rhode Island, the act of sex for money is not illegal, but operating a brothel, pimping, and street prostitution are illegal. See Nevada Revised Statutes 244.345 (<u>http://www.leg.state.nv.us/nrs/NRS-244.html#NRS244Sec345</u>), accessed April 24, 2009. See Rhode Island General Laws, Chapters 11-34 (http://www.rilin.state.ri.us/Statutes/TITLE11/11-34/INDEX.HTM), accessed April 24, 2009.

Despite these strengths, the Palermo Protocol has some limitations. First, its focus is on crime control at the expense of victim protection. Although it offers protection to victims and urges that they be given services, it does not mandate services. As part of a convention to end organized transnational crime,⁶ it details international cooperation mechanisms to tackle cross-border crime. These include strengthening border security and controls, police patrols, and surveillance, and increasing information exchange between nations. The Protocol however, offers little human rights protection for trafficked persons, an element which is made optional, rather than binding. Second, while it does not equate trafficking to prostitution, prostitution remains a marked category.

Sex Trafficking vs. Sex Work: Understanding the Difference

There is enormous confusion between the human rights abuse of *trafficking in persons* and prostitution as *a chosen occupation* (also known as *sex work*).

Sex trafficking involves one individual or group coercing or tricking another individual into entering the sex trade for purposes of exploitation. As such, it entails a gross abuse of human rights. But trafficking in persons occurs in other contexts, too—for example, men, women, and children are also routinely trafficked into household and farm labor and sweatshop manufacturing. When governments, donors, and advocacy organizations focus solely on sex trafficking, all the men, women, and children forced into and trapped in other abusive working situations are ignored and left without any protection.

Sex workers are men, women, or transgender persons who offer sexual services in exchange for money. The services may include sexual intercourse or other services such as phone sex. **In sharp contrast to sex trafficking, sex workers are not coerced into this industry.** People engage in sex work for many reasons, but they make the decision of their own accord.⁷ Equating sex work and sex trafficking both ignores the realities of sex work and endangers those engaged in it.

Exploitation: Moral or Economic?

The conflation of trafficking and sex work has resulted in the problematic assumption that sex work is inherently exploitative.⁸ Historically, the concept of "exploitation" is rooted in 19th-century discourses of poverty, where economic exploitation was defined as the act of owning the profits of another person's labor. When prostitution is conflated with trafficking, however, the term becomes associated with moral exploitation, such that the

⁶ The Trafficking Protocol is one of two supplementary Protocols to the United Nations Convention Against Transnational Crime. As of 2003, 117 of the 192 member states of the UN had signed the Trafficking Protocol.

⁷ For a further discussion, see *Trafficking and Prostitution: Understanding the Difference* by Melissa Ditmore <u>http://www.rhrealitycheck.org/blog/2008/05/05/sex-work-trafficking-understanding-different</u>.

⁸ The 1949 Convention for the Suppression of Traffic in Persons and the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others and the 2000 Palermo Protocol use the same phrase: "Exploitation, shall include, at a minimum, the *exploitation of the prostitution of others* or other forms of sexual exploitation, forced labor or services, slavery or practices similar to slavery, servitude or the removal of organs."

act of prostitution itself is termed exploitative, whether or not economic exploitation exists for individual sex workers.

Understanding exploitation as *economic* versus *moral* brings forth different responses. Economic or labor exploitation evokes responses of unionization, workers' organizing, and articulations of workers' rights. Moral exploitation brings forth raids, sweeps, rescues, and rehabilitation, and dislocates the concept of consent; consent is deemed irrelevant, ludicrous, or immaterial in situations of extreme moral exploitation.⁹

Defining prostitution as moral exploitation not only obscures economic exploitation within the sex trade, but also excludes it from the discourse on labor exploitation. Selling is a key input in capitalist economies—where everyone sells something to survive, everyone has the potential to be exploited at different degrees and levels. The obsession with sexual exploitation detracts attention both from everyday labor exploitations and from the extreme exploitation of forced labor.

The legislative responses to the moral and economic exploitation inherent in trafficking are not the same and do not require the same response as responses to economic exploitation that may exist in various sex work sectors. In India, the Immoral Trafficking Prevention Act of 1956 defines women in prostitution as exploited victims and does not seek to punish them. Instead, it aims to punish others who live off the earnings of prostitution, such as brothel-keepers and pimps, labelling them as "exploiters."

Many other countries also do not criminalize the selling of sex, but criminalize the economic circle around it, following the approach of the 1949 Trafficking Convention. Some of these relationships may be economically exploitative; others are not. But given the abolitionist aura of moral exploitation surrounding prostitution, certain relationships—such as the one between pimps and sex workers—are only, always, and inevitably viewed as exploitative.

In the dominant public discourse on prostitution, sexual exploitation is seen as not just different,¹⁰ but as more degrading than any other kind of exploitation. In the popular imagination, prostitution is inevitably forced and akin to slavery in extreme cases. In popular lexicon, a prostitute sells her *body*; similarly, in slavery, a person is sold and ownership is exchanged. Sex workers refute this formulation, noting that what is actually sold in a commercial sexual transaction is sex, while the worker continues to retain ownership of his or her own body.

If trafficking is conflated with sex work, it is also conflated with smuggling. It is important to clarify that smuggling—or illegal, undocumented entry—is an act that can take place consensually, with or without exploitation or trafficking. A migrant who consents to

⁹ Recent anti-pornography debates have also deemed consent by adults immaterial.

¹⁰ According to the UN Interpretive Note, the terms "exploitation of the prostitution of others" and "sexual exploitation" were intentionally left undefined so that each state can decide for itself how to deal with laws regulating adult voluntary sex work or prostitution.

someone else helping him or her to illegally cross an international border or one within the same country is smuggled; if he or she is exploited in a low-paying job during this process, this is a labor violation. Only when force, coercion, or deception is involved in this labor movement does it become "forced labor" or trafficking.

Like smuggling, exploitation takes place across many labor sectors, both in the presence and absence of trafficking. Undocumented migrants are particularly vulnerable to exploitation, given their tenuous legal status, but international and domestic laws on trafficking do not often address the labor exploitations that most migrants and working populations experience daily.

Agency: Beyond the Force/Choice Binary

Trafficking and sex work have typically been framed in binaries of force and choice. In this debate, the term choice refers to the notion of a liberal, individualistic free choice that arises from free will, while force is coercion, and is understood to be non-consensual.

Neither of these positions describe the complexity of the choices and negotiations that people doing sex work undertake to secure their survival. Many women say they neither chose nor were forced into prostitution; that they merely took the best possible option in their context. They are not able to define specific points or moments in their lives that correspond to the concepts of force or choice.

Rather than aiding in the framing of appropriate laws and policies on this issue, the emphasis on the force/choice dichotomy as a framework for understanding prostitution serves to deflect attention from the ordinary contexts and circumstances in which men and women craft the best possible option for survival from those available to them.

The notion of "agency" offers a way to cut through the overpowering force/choice binary. Within the dominant discourse on prostitution, agency is often mistakenly conflated with free choice, but agency can more usefully be thought of as "contextualized choice," where the choice is framed by structural contexts that could include class, citizenship, gender, and access to education.

The concept of agency allows for the consideration of economic contexts within which individuals navigate their lives. For example, a landless labourer has agency when he or she migrates to a city in search of work, as does someone who does sex work, either as a full time worker in a brothel, or as someone who does so episodically to make ends meet.

Real-life Consequences

The conflation of sex work and trafficking takes place at multiple levels – in media representation, popular opinion and the public domain, in law and policy, and in programs and interventions. This has real-life consequences for sex workers, persons who are trafficked into the sex sector, and their families.

Media Representations

The media plays a critical role in creating and perpetuating the notion that all sex work is trafficking. The visual narrative of sex work is often that of the "diseased brothel," a landscape peopled by innocent girls and traumatized women, visited by bad men, and surrounded by crime, squalor, and illegality. Not only do such visual representations conflate trafficking and sex work, they also frame these in a way that simultaneously legitimize missionary impulses to save, rescue, and rehabilitate. Framing sites of sex work as "dangerous" or sex workers as "unfit mothers" has real-life consequences: in several countries, including the United Kingdom, social service authorities have the power to remove sex workers' children, who are typically seen to be in "moral danger."

In some European countries, posters and print advertisements depict trafficking into the sex sector through images of small female figures trapped in gigantic cages, held in giant fists, dangling from oversized puppet strings, or groups of naked women packaged as chicken legs or meat. Typical captions include: *You are not a commodity*; *You will be sold like a doll*; *You only pay with your dignity, your health and your freedom*.







Although these depictions aim to stop trafficking into the sex sector, they often do not succeed. Trafficked women are unable to identify with these dramatic images, which are also sensationalized, sexualized, and exploitative. Regrettably, such mass media images inform real-life conceptions of "trafficked women."

Even though trafficking occurs in many labor sectors, media representations focus on the trafficking of women and children into the sex sector. Two sets of myths are perpetuated in the process: that men are not trafficked, and that all trafficking occurs into the sex sector. As a result, trafficking situations involving men are just not part of public or policy consciousness. Every time television channels broadcast hidden camera footage of celebrities entering brothels to "rescue these sex slaves from the pimps and madams who illegally own them," they reinforce several myths that have real-life consequences. Perhaps the biggest is the myth that trafficking takes place only within the sex sector and is a form of modern-day slavery. "Today's slaves are women and children, forced against their will to have sex with as many as 40 men per day."¹¹

Raids, Rescues, and Rights Violations

Increasing raids on red-light districts is one of the real-life consequences of media distortions. In 2005, an international Christian non-profit group called Restore International¹² launched a raid on a red-light district in Sangli, India. About 85 (mostly male) police officials carried out the raids; 35 women and girls and 13 brothel-keepers were arrested, including two schoolgirls visiting their families. The courts released most of those arrested, since they were adults (only minors can be rescued per the law). Six months

¹¹ These quotes were taken from advertisements for an *America's Most Wanted* documentary feature entitled *Daryl Hannah's Mission: Ending Sex Slavery*. Website last accessed June 24, 2009: http://www.amw.com/features/feature story detail.cfm?id=1299

¹² Restore International's Christian roots are explicated on its website <u>www.restoreinternational.org</u>: "Christ's mandate to care for the widow and orphan, heal the sick and bring justice for the oppressed. Living out these commands shapes our lives and actions."

later, hundreds of women in prostitution publicly protested against Restore International for harassing and terrorizing them, loudly proclaiming "Save us from saviors!"¹³

Such raids occur as the media continues to conflate trafficking, sex work, sexual exploitation, and sexual violence. All these are held to be the same and it is assumed that "slaves" must be rescued from all of these in the same breath. Several human rights violations occur during these raids, including physical violence, violation of privacy, and violation of due process rights. These violent raids are often neither seen nor challenged as rights violations. In another context, raids—which are often carried out without official warrants—would be seen as unlawful entry and as violating individual access and right to due process. In raids on establishments where women sell sex, rights are overlooked in the name of doing good.

Legal Exceptionalism

Raids and rescues are possible because they are often a result of legal exceptionalism, in which the legal rights of some or all people are suspended in the name of furthering the greater common good. The most famous paradigm of legal exceptionalism is that of nations suspending the rule of law when they are under threat of attack or dissolution. In recent times, several countries have enacted anti-terror legislation that does not require investigating authorities to follow due process, because terrorism is seen as a crisis that merits an exception. Legal exceptionalism also occurs when nations declare states of emergencies, suspend the rule of law, and declare martial law. Although there may be moments when the rule of law should be suspended, there should be clear principles and procedures relating to the justification and application of derogation provisions. The abuse of legal exceptionalism can lead to significant human rights abuses and the long term suspension of civil liberties.

Raids and rescues, which are usually undertaken by police, are often characterized by instances of legal exceptionalism. These can include, but are not limited to, police entering a residence without legal warrants, the suspension of habeas corpus, extrajudicial detention, and even deportation without due legal process. The notion that global sex trafficking is reaching alarming proportions provides the "crisis" that justifies suspending the rule of law.

Border Controls

Anti-sex trafficking discourses and government agendas on immigration and borders often play into, synergize, and strengthen one another. Law enforcement officials may not know how to stop trafficking, but they know how to raid brothels. When such raids are counted as successes in preventing both infiltration and trafficking, they strengthen both discourses.

¹³ Press release November 24, 2005 from SANGRAM, a nongovernmental organization in Sangli, which has collectivized more than 5,500 women, men, and transgender persons in prostitution and sex work since 1992.

Any consideration of anti-trafficking policies and programs merits a simultaneous examination of the overall role of the government. How can trafficking be prevented without violating the rights of sex workers and trafficked persons? It is critical to understand how existing border control regimes strengthen anti-trafficking impulses and vice-versa. It is also important to understand the contradictions within border control discourses: while these are an essential apparatus of State security, nations keep enough space at the borders for cheap, exploitable labor to slip in and out.

Funding and Civil Society

In some countries, the increasing resources dedicated to anti-sex trafficking efforts is skewing civil society priorities. In the Balkans, one women's rights organization turned its shelter for victims of domestic violence into a shelter for trafficked women and girls, since more funding was available for the latter. Although few victims of trafficking use this shelter, women experiencing domestic violence continue to use it informally. In India, state-run semi-defunct Child Welfare Committees are reinventing themselves through the anti-trafficking funding stream and turning their attention to the trafficking of minors. While this is positive, there are negative consequences: committees are turning their attention away from other child rights issues and focusing only on trafficking because it has a funding stream.

In these and other ways, NGOs and community-based organizations are increasingly negatively influenced by larger political agendas that they cannot shape. Civil society groups are implementing State agendas, rather than prioritizing their own, leading to breakdowns in NGO integrity and devaluation of their own assessments of the needs in their communities. As more and more anti-trafficking proposals are submitted, donors cite the large numbers of proposals as supposed evidence of the increase in trafficking in the region.

Laws, Policies, and Practices

Several laws, policies, and practices at international, regional, national, and local levels continue to conflate sex work and trafficking and adversely affect sex workers' daily lives, including their ability to access fundamental rights. These include:

- *Policies that criminalize demand* of sex work by penalizing sex workers' clients. Sweden, Finland, and Norway currently follow this practice; India and the United Kingdom are considering similar provisions. Although this is ostensibly meant to protect vulnerable women and children from being trafficked, sex workers' rights groups say there is no evidence that this is the result. Instead, criminalizing clients adversely affects the livelihoods of sex workers. It also pushes sex work underground, makes sex workers harder to reach, and decreases their access to health services.
- *Funding conditionalities.* The United States' President's Emergency Fund for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR) and the 2003 Trafficking Victims Protection Reauthorization Act require nongovernmental organizations inside and outside the

U.S. to sign an "anti-prostitution pledge" as a pre-condition to funding.¹⁴ The antiprostitution pledge requires organizations that receive federal HIV/AIDS or antitrafficking funds to adopt an organization-wide policy opposing both prostitution and sex-trafficking. Such conditionalities create resource constraints for those who refuse to comply and lead to cutbacks in services and facilities for some sex work communities.

- *Non-recognition of sex work* as work means that sex workers do not get the same labor rights and protection as other workers.
- *Migration laws* are often used in the name of anti-trafficking to prevent entry to foreigners. Brazilian women going to Spain are turned back at the border if they "look" like they might be in prostitution.¹⁵
- *Deportation policies* are sometimes used to send people who have been trafficked back to their countries of origin. Humane voluntary return policies are disregarded.¹⁶
- *Surveillance mechanisms and technologies* such as phone taps, undercover agents, and decoys—which were previously restricted to terrorist activities—are used in anti-trafficking operations. These mark a further erosion of rights, particularly the right to privacy.
- A strict law enforcement approach to preventing trafficking rather than a strong emphasis on victim protection, including the protection of the rights of sex workers who find themselves in coercive situations at sex work sites. In some countries, those who are trafficked into the sex sector are seen as eligible for state protection and services only if they give up sex work; those who decide to go back to sex work are no longer able to access services. They are doubly victimized, first by being trafficked, and then by losing access to needed services.¹⁷

The conflation of trafficking and sex work often leads to schizophrenic policy environments. In India, the Ministry of Health supports the empowerment of sex workers as a central strategy in HIV/AIDS prevention efforts, while the Department of Women and Child Development supports a proposal to criminalize sex workers' clients. The diverse arms of the United Nations exhibit similar confusions, leading to an absence of coherent policies on ending trafficking or promoting the rights of sex workers.

¹⁴ See <u>http://www.pepfar.gov</u>

¹⁵ Example cited by Zoe Bake-Paterson of the Global Alliance Against Traffic in Women

¹⁶ According to the International Organization for Migration (IOM), voluntary return is a key strategy in ensuring humane and orderly movement of migrants. It is a more dignified, cost-effective and sustainable alternative to forced return as it takes account of the person's decision, allows the returnee to prepare for the return, and avoids the stigma of deportation and its negative repercussions for successful reintegration. http://www.iom.md/resettlement.html

¹⁷ In the United States, a person who is trafficked into the sex sector gets services, etc. If they are undocumented, they can get 'conditional stay' and permission to work and other services. Since prostitution is illegal in most of the US, they would be vulnerable to arrest if they continued to work in prostitution.

Stigma and discrimination result in sex workers not having access to the full range of human rights: from basic housing, water, education, health, and voting rights to freedom from violence and harassment and the right to dignity. Anti-sodomy laws still in place in many countries impact men having sex with men, including male sex workers.

The implementation of HIV/AIDS policies pushing mandatory testing and 100 percent condom use violate sex workers' rights when these are brutally enforced. In February 2008, Cambodia outlawed prostitution as part of a new law addressing human trafficking called The Suppression of Human Trafficking and Sexual Exploitation Law.¹⁸ In August 2008 the Cambodian government stipulated that there must be 100 percent condom use among sex workers in Cambodia;¹⁹ as the country with the highest rate of HIV/AIDS infection in the region, condom use is key to health in Cambodia.

However, the implementation of these laws has since left sex workers vulnerable to arbitrary detention and human rights abuses. Sex workers and their allies have drawn attention to the brutality of the police crackdown that followed the prohibition of prostitution. Many police are now arbitrarily detaining anyone carrying a condom on the alleged grounds that they sell sex. Sex workers arrested are sent to "rehabilitation" centers that are basically prisons, where women are held in communal cells with no bathrooms or running water, hardly receive food or water, sometimes beaten or raped, and denied anti-retroviral HIV treatment.²⁰

¹⁸ http://www.sexworkeurope.org/site/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=218&Itemid=1

¹⁹ http://us.oneworld.net/issues/justice-and-crime/-/article/357121-condoms-cambodia-a-double-edged-sword

²⁰ http://www.groundreport.com/World/Cambodia-Sex-workers-100-Condom-Use-and-Human-Righ

Existing Models

In many countries, anti-trafficking policies, programs, and interventions are accompanied by rights violations of sex workers and those trafficked into the sex sector. In this context, three existing models that approach sex work from a rights-based perspective to promote anti-trafficking efforts were examined and analyzed:

- An institutional anti-trafficking framework from the European Union;
- A sex worker-led anti-trafficking initiative from India; and
- An empowerment model from the United States.

Institutional Anti-trafficking Framework

This European Union model aims to ensure that countries integrate a human rights perspective to any analysis, planning, policies, and measures addressing trafficking. It sees multidisciplinary cooperation and coordination between all involved actors and stakeholders, including civil society and labor market organizations, as crucial to the process. It requires all such interventions within the EU to:

- Adopt standards from international human rights instruments;
- Uphold the principle of non-discrimination;
- Recognize trafficked persons as subjects and holders of rights;
- Ensure participation, empowerment and social inclusion of the affected; and
- Integrate a gender and child rights perspective.

The institutional model defines eight key stages at which rights must be protected:²¹

- *Identification* of trafficking. Authorities must understand the nature of exploitation in trafficking to eliminate prejudices and identify those actually trafficked into various labor sectors.
- *Cooperation* between governmental bodies and nongovernmental organizations must ensure that migrants with irregular status can be presented as valid claimants of services; trafficked persons need access to service providers without facing deportation.
- *Support and protection* must be tailored to meet individual needs and provided at any stage of the trafficking cycle at which victims are identified. Shelters must be based on international human rights standards; many shelters are more like prisons than safe houses. Presumed victims of trafficking must be allowed time in a safe environment before they are subjected to questioning.
- *Repatriation and social inclusion*, including long-term integration and inclusion programs, must be provided after appropriate support and protection services have been provided.
- *Residence regimes* should not be conditioned on the ability or willingness of victims to act as witnesses. Apart from providing access to temporary housing, States should grant residence permits, consider third-country resettlement options for trafficked persons and their families, and not preclude them from seeking asylum on their territory.

²¹ Minimum legal standards related to these are not defined in international law.

- *Data protection* is of increasing importance. Since several countries store personal data on trafficked persons, there is a need to ensure that it is done with consent and made accessible to the individual. He or she must be informed about the use of his or her data.
- *Victim/witness protection* is needed for individuals and their families, who are often vulnerable to threats and retaliation for cooperating with law enforcement. This may need to be in place before, during, and after legal proceedings.
- *Confiscation/compensation* should ensure that individuals have access to salaries and remuneration they have earned while being trafficked.

Sex Worker-led Anti-trafficking Initiative

In the Indian context, migration is a livelihood strategy through which people have traditionally moved from villages to cities and from one country to another to improve their living conditions. Trafficked persons are often migrants who end up being abused and exploited in the informal and unprotected sectors of the economy.

Labor exploitation, including trafficking, is rooted in the lack of establishment or enforcement of minimum standards, particularly in the unorganized or informal labor sectors. Providing effective labor rights protection in the sex industry and ensuring safe migration are effective ways to combat trafficking.

A sex-worker led strategy to stop trafficking has been operational in several red-light districts where DMSC has a presence in West Bengal, India. DMSC (Durbar Mahila Samanwaya Committee) is a forum of 65,000 sex workers and brothel owners based in West Bengal.²²

The sex-worker led organization aims to stop trafficking in 30 of the red-light districts where it works. Its main motivation in doing so is to dissociate sex work from crime. The strategy rests on the knowledge that sex workers are uniquely positioned to know of trafficking in their neighborhoods. Each red-light district has a Self-Regulatory Board consisting of 15 members: eight sex workers and seven non-sex workers from that area. The non-sex workers on a board typically include local government representatives, doctors or public health officials, women's rights activists, lawyers, and members of women's commissions and social welfare agencies.

The Self-Regulatory Board meets every new woman or girl who comes into a red-light district. If she is an adult who says she came to sex work without force or coercion, the rules are explained and she carries on working; if she is a minor or not a consenting adult, members of the board work to remove and re-integrate her into her family. Not all brothel keepers are cooperative in the process of releasing minors or non-consenting adults; in

²² DMSC sees sex work as a contractual service negotiated between consenting adults. The organization is against any force exercised against sex workers by clients, brothel keepers, room owners, pimps, local goons, the police, traffickers, or anyone else.

some cases, when women have to be rescued, this is done with the help of the social welfare department, without involving law-enforcement officials.

The overall approach is to end trafficking by:

- Actively involving sex workers and their organizations in partnerships with other government and nongovernmental organizations.
- Making it non-viable for madams and brothel owners to recruit underage or trafficked persons as sex workers by insisting that all new women and girls in a red-light district are brought before the Self Regulatory Board.
- Using do-no-harm policies, humane, non-threatening approaches and helping an adult to exert his or her agency in deciding whether or not to stay in sex work.
- Enabling an adult to establish control over his or her body, instead of focusing on "rescues" or physical/geographical removal from a red-light district.

DMSC started working in red-light areas in Kolkata in 1992. Preliminary data indicate that the proportion of minors has declined from 25 percent in 1992 to 3 percent in 2001 in the red-light districts where DMSC works, and that the median age of sex workers has gone up from 22 to 28 in the same period. Even so, the organization's own assessment identifies ongoing challenges: catching or punishing traffickers; obtaining political support; and reentries of trafficked women and girls into prostitution even after being removed from brothels.

Building on this, anti-trafficking interventions need to:

- Look into the broader arena of labor rules, policies, and practices that essentially support and sustain forced labor and slavery-like practices irrespective of the type and nature of work or industry.
- Respect the agency of the men and women who are victims or potential victims of trafficking, be they in the sex industry or in other organized or unorganized sectors.
- Address issues not from a "protectionist approach" but from one that focuses on the needs and rights of vulnerable individuals and groups.

Placing the rights of trafficked persons at the center of such initiatives also means:

- Linking anti-trafficking to migrants' rights.
- Defending women's rights to migrate.
- Ensuring that a trafficked person has the right to exert his or her agency during and after a rescue.
- Recognizing the rights and entitlement of labor.

Empowerment Model

Many anti-trafficking initiatives aim to bring together government, law enforcement, and service providers to work with the trafficked person in the center to meet his or her needs. In practice, this does not always work for several reasons: turf battles among law enforcement; information-sharing issues between different agencies; adversarial, rather than cooperative, work styles and methods. The constant negotiation with different agencies is overwhelming for trafficked persons.

Law enforcement has little time or interest in trafficked persons who do not meet the typical "innocent victim" stereotype of a young woman forced into a brothel. An empowerment model that focuses not on the type of victimization or what industry the person was forced to work in, but on the coercive behavior of the perpetrator is more effective in addressing trafficking and protecting the rights of sex workers. The issue is not what labor someone was forced to perform, but how they were abused and victimized. Perpetrators exhibit similar behavior across labor sectors, forcing their victims to do what is of greatest benefit to the perpetrators.

By shifting the focus to the actual abuse and exploitation, the empowerment model addresses trafficking and protects the rights of sex workers. It allows sex workers to be seen as workers in need of workplace protection, regardless of the nature of their work. The approach targets only those who are actually being trafficked, rather than all sex workers.

In recognizing a continuum of exploitation, empowerment models protect the rights of all workers. In this continuum, trafficking is not seen as the only form of exploitation; rather, exploitation is seen at different levels and points in the labor sector. Trafficking is seen as an extreme form of exploitation that occurs at one end of the continuum.

Discussion

A discussion on rights-based approaches to trafficking highlighted the following issues.

State and community-based mechanisms are needed to end trafficking while upholding the rights of undocumented migrants, including those who engage in sex work. State and migrant agendas are often in conflict with one another; while the State's impulse is to repatriate, the migrant's interest is in remaining there. Many men and women do not want to go back to the world that they have left behind in search of better lives, even if they have been trafficked. However, men and women who say they have been trafficked but would like to continue in sex work are often not believed. How should advocates respond in such situations to ensure that migrants – documented, undocumented, or trafficked – are able to exercise their rights?

The ability of migrants and victims of trafficking to access services and have their desires respected and fulfilled varies significantly from one country to another. For instance, migrants working on the borders of the United States may be able to access health services – but they are unable to secure work permits, labor contracts, or social security in the absence of a residence permit or proof of citizenship. Trafficked persons, however, in the U.S. would be able to work and receive services.

On the other hand, many sex workers from Bangladesh now live in India. Because they look similar to Indians, they merge with the population and access many of the same rights and services as Indian citizens: health, education, housing, etc., even though they do not have formal citizenship status.

The absence of information regarding rights of migrants, services migrants can access, and legal recourse makes migrants vulnerable to trafficking, but policymakers do not take this into account. Nation-states around the world rely upon cheap labor, which is often a result of undocumented migration. Fighting for the recognition of rights for undocumented migrant sex workers still remains a political challenge

Recommendations

The following gaps, opportunities, and priorities were identified to help advocates support and implement anti-trafficking efforts that affirm the rights of sex workers.

Change public perceptions

All around the world, there is an unreached middle ground of people who are confused about the difference between trafficking into the sex sector and prostitution, and who may assume that both are one and the same. There is an urgent need to "speak to the middle." Pro-active public education strategies need to be devised to deconstruct and frame these complex issues simply, but not simplistically. These issues need to be brought into the public domain in clear, accessible language that lay people can understand. Images of sex workers that represent sex workers as *active* agents rather than as *passive* victims are a critical aspect of public education.

Strengthen the understanding of sex workers' rights

Even though the Universal Declaration of Human Rights asserts the universality of human rights, sex workers are not always seen as being entitled to the same human rights and dignities as others. In this context, the human rights discourse offers a valuable platform to strengthen the understanding of sex workers' rights.

Influence policymakers

Policymakers across many levels and sectors of government need to understand the distinctions between human trafficking, trafficking into the sex sector, and sex work, and how policies impact these separate issues. It is critical to ensure that good information reaches those in a position to determine policies that impact trafficking and/or the rights of sex workers.

> Build bridges with other progressive movements

The sex worker rights movement should proactively engage with other movements such as those working on labor or immigration rights. Sex workers and their advocates need to be present at conferences and consultations on labor exploitation. There is an ongoing need to engage with the women's movement; while many feminists support the rights of sex workers, others continue to conflate trafficking into the sex sector and sex work. Further, many working to combat violence against women have not supported sex workers in their efforts to decrease violence in the sex sector, often perpetrated by state authorities.

> Get accurate data and evidence through rigorous, ethical research

There are as yet no reliable estimates of the numbers of men and women who are trafficked. Research is needed to establish the efficacy or inefficacy of anti-trafficking initiatives and policies, such as recent policies to criminalize demand. Research claims about program effects cannot be measured in the absence of baseline data. Sex workers must participate in research efforts related to trafficking

into the sex sector, and have a voice in ensuring that research protocols are not just rigorous, but ethical and meaningful.

> Document the harms of anti-trafficking initiatives that are not rights-based

There is a need to document and monitor specific human rights violations related to anti-trafficking initiatives.

> Include voices and priorities of those most affected by anti-tracking initiatives

Advocacy and program agendas should place the voices and priorities of those most affected by trafficking and/or anti-trafficking initiatives at the center. Migrants, trafficking victims, and sex workers know their own needs, concerns, realities, issues, and priorities, even as they continue to work in stigmatized, criminalized, and discriminatory settings in many parts of the world. These communities need to be supported with adequate funding, translation, and other resources to effectively participate in international, regional, national, and local meetings, consultations, and conferences on trafficking, migration, and sex work.

> Increase funding to groups advocating for sex workers' rights

Sex workers' groups, networks and movements need to be empowered. Sex work cuts across and fits into many funding categories: poverty, migration, gender, stigma, health, HIV, LGBT rights, etc. Donors have used funding principles of empowerment, economic justice, self-determination, public health, human rights, violence against women, harm reduction, and sexual rights to fund sex workers' rights.

Appendix A: Conference Agenda

Sex Work and Trafficking: A Donor–Activist Dialogue on Rights and Funding, December 11-12, 2008, New York, NY

Thursday, 11 December 2008

9:00 - 9:30am	Welcome and Introductions	
9:30 - 10:00am	Introduction to the meeting	
10:00 - 11:00am	Word mapping: Sex work and trafficking	
11:00 - 11:15am	BREAK	
11:15 - 12:30pm	Clarifying and defining sex work, trafficking and rights. Why do these terms matter? Implications on the ground	
12:30 - 1:30pm	LUNCH	
1:30 - 3:00pm	Representation of sex work and trafficking	
3:00 - 3:30pm	BREAK	
3:30 – 5:00pm	Existing models that approach sex work from a rights-based perspective to promote anti-trafficking efforts. Small group in-depth discussion	
5:00 - 5:30pm	Recap and close	
Friday, 12 December 2008		

1 Huay, 12 December 2	2000
9:00 – 9:45am	Mapping of policies that effect sex worker rights
9:45 – 11:00am	Connecting the dots: Opportunities and challenges for advocacy
11:00– 11:15am	BREAK
11:15 – 12:30pm	Donor discussion on sex worker rights funding issues: Challenges, barriers and strategies
12:30 - 1:30pm	LUNCH
1:30 - 3:00pm	Upcoming opportunities and resources for impacting this field
3:00 - 4:00pm	Open discussion on next steps

Appendix B: Conference Participants

Sex Work and Trafficking: A Donor Activist Dialogue on Rights and Funding CREA, Network of Sex Work Projects (NSWP), and the Sexual Health and Rights Project (SHARP) of the Open Society Institute (OSI)

> December 11 – 12, 2008 New York, NY

Melynda Barnhart Abraham Freedman Fellow Temple University, Beasley School of Law

Zoe Bake-Paterson Campaigns and Communications Officer Global Alliance Against Traffic in Women

Rebekah Chang Administrative Assistant, Sexual Health and Rights Project Open Society Institute

Sonia Correa Co-Chair (SPW), Coordinator for Sexual and Reproductive Health (DAWN) Sexuality Policy Watch (SPW), Development Alternatives with Women for a New Era (DAWN)

(DAWN) Bishakha Datta

Melissa Hope Ditmore Coordinator NSWP

Consultant

Heather Doyle Project Director, Sexual Health and Rights Project Open Society Institute

Irene Dubel Programme Manager, Gender, Women & Development HIVOS

Caroline Earle Program Coordinator CREA

Adriana Ermoli Senior Program Officer for Latin America and the Caribbean American Jewish World Service **Susana Fried** Gender Advisor United Nations Development Programme

Shohini Ghosh Professor, Zakir Hussain Chair, AJK Mass Communication Research Centre Jamia Millia Islamia (Central University)

Françoise Girard Director, Public Health Program Open Society Institute

David Gonzelez Program Assistant Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation

Florence Tercier Holst-Roness Programme Officer, Issues Affecting Women OAK Foundation

Jodi Jacobson Independent Consultant

Dr. Smarajit Jana Chief Advisor Durbar Mahila Samanwaya Committee

Ann Jordan Director, Program on Human Trafficking and Forced Labor Center on Human Rights and Humanitarian Law, Washington College of Law, American University

Irene Keizer Program Manager Aids Fonds

Nayheng Leng Secretariat Women's Network for Unity

Barbara Limanowska Consultant

Kristina Mahnicheva Executive Director AntiAIDS Association / Tais Plus

Geetanjali Misra Executive Director CREA Shalini Natraj Vice President, Programs Global Fund for Women

Elaine Pearson Deputy Director, Asia Division Human Rights Watch

Andrea Ritchie Director of the Sex Workers Project Urban Justice Center

Sylvia Sangare-Mollete Consultant Danaya So

Svati Shah Postdoctoral Fellow Duke University

Friederike Strack Davida/ Redtrasex

Meena Saraswathi Seshu General Secretary Sampada Grameen Mahila Sanstha (SANGRAM)

Rachel Thomas Program Officer, Sexual Health and Rights Project Open Society Institute

Ruth Morgan Thomas Executive Director

SCOT-PEP

Shari Turitz Director of Programs, Public Health Program Open Society Institute

Baerbel Uhl EU Resident Twining Advisor Boltzmann Institute of Human Rights

Carole S. Vance Associate Clinical Professor and Director, Program for the Study of Sexuality, Gender, Health and Human Rights, Department of Sociomedical Science Columbia University